

Orleans Conservation Commission
Town Hall, Nauset Room
Hearing Meeting, Tuesday, September 17, 2013

'14 FEB 25 9:48AM ✓
K. Dery, Asst.
ORLEANS TOWN CLERK

PRESENT: Judith Bruce, Chairwoman; Steve Phillips, Vice-Chairman; Bob Royce; James Trainor; Jim O'Brien; Judy Brainerd; Philips Marshall; Jane Hussey; Associate Rich Nadler, Associate; Kevin Galligan, Associate; John Jannell, Conservation Administrator

8:30 a.m. Call to Order

Continuations

Last Heard 8/13/13

Steve Simon, 8 & 10 Beach Road. Assessor's Map 36, Parcel 23 & 24. The proposed installation of a water line. Work will occur within 25' of the Edge of Wetland. John Jannell announced that the Conservation Department received a request to continue the hearing for one week to September 24, 2013.

MOTION: A motion to continue the hearing to September 24th was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Philips Marshall.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Last Heard 9/3/13 (SP1, JO1, PM1)

Nancy Hall, 33 Whites Lane. by Coastal Engineering Company, Inc. Assessor's Map 49, Parcel 11. The proposed repair and relocation of an existing licensed pier. Work will occur in a Salt Marsh, in Land Under Water, on Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage, & in the Pleasant Bay A.C.E.C. *James Trainor recused himself. For the purpose of this hearing, Jane Hussey will be a voting member.* Roger Michniewicz of Coastal Engineering Company, Inc., was present. Roger Michniewicz explained that the hearing had been continued to submit a revised plan showing the proposed straightening of the pier, and to receive documentation that this modification was acceptable to DEP. Judith Bruce inquired if there were any objections, and Roger Michniewicz explained that he had an e-mail from DEP. John Janell asked that this be submitted for the file record.

MOTION: A motion to close the hearing was made by Bob Royce and seconded by Judy Brainerd.

VOTE: Unanimous.

MOTION: A motion to approve the site plan dated 9/9/13, including all standard conditions, was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Judy Brainerd.

VOTE: 6-0-1; motion approved.

Last Heard 9/3/13 (SP1, JO1, PM1)

John Munoz, 92 Tonset Road. by Ryder & Wilcox, Inc. Assessor's Map 26, Parcel 74. The proposed upgrading of an existing septic system including the pumping & abandonment of an existing cesspool; the installation of a new septic system to serve an existing single-family dwelling; & the installation of a new water service. Work will occur within 100' of the Top of a Coastal Bank. Stephanie Sequin of Ryder & Wilcox, Inc., was present. Stephanie Sequin went over the revised plans, noting that the

Orleans Conservation Commission Hearing Meeting 9-17-13

Commission had been concerned about the placement of the tank and pump chamber should there be a future expansion of the house. The proposed components were now located 75' from the Top of the Coastal Bank, underground electric lines were shown, and the proposed septic design would not allow for the possibility for expansion outside of the 50' buffer. Judith Bruce inquired if they meant to locate the system outside of the 100' buffer, and Stephanie Sequin explained that due to the raise of the grade, putting the components outside of the 100' buffer was not feasible. Judith Bruce noted that if someone chose to remain on the existing footprint and replace what is there the proposed work would be fine, but that if someone wanted to expand, they should look outside of the 75' buffer zone. Judith Bruce felt the applicant had done what the Commission requested. John Jannell reminded the Commission that this was an RDA application, and the Commission could issue a negative determination for the proposed work.

MOTION: A motion to close the hearing was made by Bob Royce and seconded by Steve Phillips.

VOTE: Unanimous.

MOTION: A motion to issue a negative determination was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Philips Marshall.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Last Heard 9/10/13

Edward & Natalie Bagdonas, 54 Beach Road. by Ryder & Wilcox, Inc. Assessor's Map 36, Parcel 45. The proposed construction of a detached garage with artist studio above; extension of deck on existing single-family dwelling; & replacement of existing septic tank & D-box (if present). Work will occur within 100' of the Edge of an Inland Wetland. *James Trainor recused himself. For the purpose of this hearing, Jane Hussey will be a voting member.* David Lyttle of Ryder & Wilcox, Inc., was present. David Lyttle explained that they would like to continue the hearing for 4 weeks, since they were in the process of reviewing alternatives to the proposed work and to determine how the project may be improved by installing a new septic system. David Lyttle pointed out that the proposed restoration of the buffer may change if the installation of a new septic system was proposed, and Judith Bruce appreciated the applicant's willingness to explore this option. Kevin Galligan took an opportunity to go out on site and met with the homeowner, who wanted to improve the property in the long run. David Lyttle asked that the hearing be continued to October 8th.

MOTION: A motion to continue the hearing to October 8th was made by Bob Royce and seconded by Judy Brainerd.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Notice of Intent

Samuel J. & Nancy H. Scialabba, 32 Harbor View Lane. by Ryder & Wilcox, Inc. Assessor's Map 29, Parcel 11. The after the fact cutting of trees on a Coastal Bank. Work occurred on a Coastal Bank & within 100' of the Edge of a Salt Marsh. David Lyttle of Ryder & Wilcox, Inc. and Samuel Scialabba, applicant, were present. David Lyttle explained that this application had been submitted as an After the Fact Notice of Intent, where 6 trees were cut down the previous winter. It was their intention to propose mitigation for this work, and David Lyttle explained that they wanted to talk to

Orleans Conservation Commission Hearing Meeting 9-17-13

the Commission before they moved forward developing a mitigation plan. Judith Bruce asked how the work came to be, and Sam Scialabba explained that he originally saw two dead pines on his bank which came down during two storm events. Sam Scialabba said he contacted Arbortech for the removal of the trees, and while he was away in Florida, they contacted him to inform him that additional trees had come down during the winter storms. Since this time, Sam Scialabba met with John Jannell, who explained to him that he needed approval from the Conservation Commission prior to any work within Conservation Commission jurisdiction, and he was willing to do whatever the Commission requested. Judith Bruce counted 6 stumps while on site, and John Jannell stated that in a leaf off area he saw 6 stumps. John Jannell explained that the letter from the Conservation Department dated June 4, 2013, asked for a minimum of a 1 to 1 replacement. The site plan provided to the Commission for this filing showed the removals, and what was missing was a replanting plan. During the on-site the Commission noticed that the Coastal Bank was very steep and tough to access, and recommended that if they were looking for guidance, the minimum requested should be done. Judith Bruce noted a number of oak stump sprouts while on site, and Sam Scialabba said that he had not taken down additional trees, although the site had been cleared when purchased 25 years ago. Sam Scialabba explained that he was currently treating 5 oak trees damaged from insect infestation, and from time to time had cut trees down to 4'. Sam Scialabba stated that he understood now that any trimming of trees within Conservation Commission jurisdiction needed to come before the Commission for approval prior to work commencing, and David Lyttle thought she may have observed the maintenance of the stump sprouts. Judith Bruce wanted the trees that were taken down to be replaced, and commented that there was no approved view corridor on this site. David Lyttle pointed out that a view always existed on site, and Sam Scialabba said he would do whatever the Commission wanted to rectify the situation. Judith Bruce appreciated his willingness to work with the Commission, and David Lyttle explained that he had not been sure what type of tree to recommend for replanting. Judith Bruce realized that given the steepness of the bank, a 1 to 1 replacement of a 30-40' pine would be rather difficult, and inquired what would be best for the site. John Jannell noted that the Commission often recommends smaller than what was removed, and Steve Phillips thought that as part of this restoration the applicant consider a view corridor, given that the house was much more visible from the resource area. David Lyttle explained that Ryder & Wilcox had worked with the applicant since he purchased the house, and explained that while he did not cut these trees to improve his view, he always had a view. Steve Phillips pointed out the different view from Mill Pond Landing since the work had been done, and Sam Scialabba understood that this view had changed since 4 of the trees which had been removed were clumped together in one corner. David Lyttle pointed out that the site plan showed where the clump of 4 of the 6 trees were removed, and stated that it would take a while to grow back. Steve Phillips asked to send a letter to Arbortech about this unpermitted work, and Sam Scialabba said he should have been aware that this work required Conservation Commission approval. James Trainor asked that they return with a planting plan providing something they feel would survive, and David Lyttle said that he had someone he could recommend to the applicant. David Lyttle asked to continue the hearing for two weeks to October 1st, and Judith Bruce pointed out that were looking to avoid potential fines and enforcement. Sam Scialabba stated that the tree at the Top of the Bank was in its 3rd year of treatment, and only 25% of the foliage was noted. Sam

Orleans Conservation Commission Hearing Meeting 9-17-13

Scialabba was concerned about the health of the trees and how this would open up the corner. Kevin Galligan was in complete support about sending a letter to Arbortech, and felt that the Commission needed to deal with outreach in the future. Jane Hussey thought the Commission should also have a discussion about how to replace these larger specimen trees that were dying as a result of the gall wasp.

MOTION: A motion to continue the hearing to October 1st was made by Bob Royce and seconded by Judy Brainerd.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Ramani & Louise Ayer, 22 Horseshoe Lane. by Ryder & Wilcox, Inc. Assessor's Map 89, Parcel 8. The proposed construction of a timber stairway on a Coastal Bank; bank nourishment; supplemental planting of salt marsh grasses; revegetation; invasive plant management; & landscaping. Work will occur on a Coastal Bank, Coastal Beach, Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage, Land Under Water, Salt Marsh, & in the Pleasant Bay A.C.E.C. David Lyttle of Ryder & Wilcox, Inc; Gordon Peabody of Safe Harbor Environmental Management & Habitat Restoration; Joy Cummings of Aline Architecture; Betsy Furtney, Project Manager; Mike Antinarelli of AMA Excavating; Jeff Norgeot; Kris Ramsay of the Orleans Conservation Trust; Louise Ayer, Applicant; and Nicholas and Stephanie Mazzaresse, abutters, were present. David Lyttle introduced the team present to go over the proposal. Joy Cummings explained that the house had been designed in accordance with Vastu Shastra, an approach to the orientation of natural law, whose designs had strict rules including such things as an absolute natural border, the orientation due east of the house, and that the entire building must be fenced in with a wall. David Lyttle pointed out that a small portion of the wall was located inside the 50' buffer, dry laid, and would not prevent critter colonization. The house has been revised, and is now proposed to be 10' back farther from the buffer, with the parking area shown in the northeast corner to be eliminated. David Lyttle said that all of the larger trees were being saved with an exception of those due to gall wasp infestation, and 7 trees that are either dead or dying at the base of the Coastal Bank will be removed. David Lyttle passed out revised plans showing the increase of the spacing in the proposed stairway, and that the bank restoration work proposed would be similar to the work done at David Driscoll's property at 26 Horseshoe Lane. They proposed to use the existing sand excavated from the foundation of the house, and noted that there would not be any fiber roll toe protection on site. The access to the beach will occur by utilizing the path from Wilkinson Ecological Design's project at 26 Horseshoe Lane, and Jeff Norgeot, the contractor doing the work, would make one trip in and one trip out, using a 5' mini excavator. Fiberglass alterna mats will be used on the path, and once the machine is on the beach, it will remain during the project. In the case of a storm event, the machine will have a mound to sit. Gordon Peabody outlined the proposed Biomimicry work, whose goal was to redirect wave energy so that sand could collect. Gordon Peabody noted that the supplied handout went over in greater detail the work proposed on site, including monitoring of the progress. Betsy Furtney summarized the proposed plantings, explaining that the staircase's planned location was covered with invasive plant species to be removed by hand, with the privet to be removed mechanically. It was their hope to utilize the native mulch from the site, and there would not be any chemical treatment proposed. Given that it was a windy site which was difficult for planting, Betsy Furtney thought that evergreens had the best

chance for survivability. David Lyttle pointed out that they were respecting the 100' buffer with the house. Judith Bruce noted that this was an enormous project, and inquired about the proposed shims to be put in before the winter with the biomimicry work, and whether or not they would stay a year or removed after the winter. Gordon Peabody recommended that they be installed around October 1st and taken out around April 1st, although DEP says that these shims can help with piping plover nesting, and that some owners preferred to leave them in the ground. Kevin Galligan thanked Gordon Peabody for the background information on biomimicry, and inquired about the success related to the supply of the sand. Gordon Peabody explained that everything is site specific, and said that while they would incorporate the Conservation Administrator, it was important for the Commission to give it a chance to perform. Gordon Peabody pointed out that they photo documented their work, and would provide a report. Judith Bruce felt this would be beneficial, and Steve Phillips commented that some of the plantings had been located over the property lines onto the Mazzaresse's property. Betsy Furtney explained that this was a possible border alternative, which the abutters, Nicholas and Stephanie Mazzaresse, would have to file for separately. Steve Phillips had questions about the access area, how the mound would be removed, and how the sand was going to get down to that area. Jeff Norgeot explained that the access from 26 Horseshoe Lane would be put back together with plantings and the material from the mound would be used to create a road. Jeff Norgeot noted that there would be a second machine placing sand, and Steve Phillips inquired about the amount of sand proposed. Gordon Peabody estimated 800-900 yards to fill some of the eroded areas. Steve Phillips asked for a cross section of how it was going to look since there was a lot of material proposed. David Lyttle pointed out on page two of the site plan there was a section CC which showed how the existing grade was in the eroded area, and the fill and extent of the eroded area. Steve Phillips asked why it was necessary to have the wall going into the A.C.E.C., and Joy Cummings explained that the space of the wall had to be rectangular and be spaced accordingly to the rules of Vastu Shastra. Betsy Furtney pointed out that corner of the lot had a lot of invasives to be replaced with native material. Judy Brainerd commented that the biomimicry material demonstrated that sand accumulates quickly, and would it be better to leave the shims in place. Gordon Peabody pointed out again that the sand accumulation was site specific, and the shims could be left in place. The amount of sand accumulated during the summer months was not as significant of a gain as the winter months. John Jannell inquired if 4x6 posts were to be used for the staircase due to the steepness of the Bank, and David Lyttle said yes. John Jannell asked if they were still committed to the 5,000 plugs to be installed in summer of 2013, and Gordon Peabody said that they would not make a commitment until the Commission was ready and the appeal period was over. Gordon Peabody commented that they planted all year round, and they were sensitive that this will be a storm impacted area. Betsy Furtney explained that they wanted to get this proposal in front of the Commission as soon as possible to install the Spartina as early as the following week. John Jannell inquired if there would only be a second planting effort if there was success with the initial work, and Gordon Peabody explained that they were going to initially fill some of the open spaces. Gordon Peabody was concerned that if they put them all in and had a storm event, and John Jannell asked about the timing of the plantings. Gordon Peabody said that 1,000 would be installed

to begin with but, given the lateness of the season, they did not have confidence in a late planting and preferred to wait until the spring for the additional plantings. Gordon Peabody noted that the report offered would be informal, and John Jannell said that monitoring and assessing should be something to consider. John Jannell pointed out that there was nourishment to the south and nothing was proposed to the north, and David Lytle said that they would be submitting an application for Nicholas and Stephanie Mazzaresse for their stairway and replantings. John Jannell explained that since the abutting path was to be used, the Conservation Department needed a letter of approval to use this property. John Jannell explained that the Commission walked down the path, and knew that Jeff Norget's machine could crawl down this with limited damage. John Jannell noted that the permission needed to be secured to use that path. Jeff Norgeot explained that as the front end loader brings fill from the site, a stone box would be used. This stone box, something typically used for revetment work, prevented sand from hitting the ground and kept the area clean. John Jannell inquired about the duration of this work, and whether or not the machine would be on the beach the entire time. Jeff Norgeot explained that the work would take 5-10 days, and the machine would be on the beach the entire time. John Jannell asked about the terraces on the slope, and Betsy Furtney noted that this was the benching of the water, and Gordon Peabody described how the work stabilized the bank and allowed for stormwater discharge. Gordon Peabody felt this was a more low impact system given the steep slope, and preferred to use a less geotextile approach. John Jannell pointed out that whatever was used that it would need to be 100% biodegradable. Nicholas Mazzaresse thanked the applicant for keeping them updated on what was proposed, but stated that he and his wife had some concerns given the size of the project. They felt that it was going to impact the aesthetic from Little Pleasant Bay, and that the trees would not be able to screen the proposed large house from their property. Judith Bruce pointed out that while the Commission was concerned about the view from the resource area, it could not get involved in private views. Nicholas Mazzaresse inquired about the proposed privacy screen, and Judith Bruce said that it was located 100' from the Coastal Bank. Betsy Furtney commented that there were windy conditions on this bank, and were trying to plant additional trees without encroaching upon the oak or cherry trees on site. *Jim O'Brien left at 10:01am.* Kris Ramsay of the Orleans Conservation Trust, stated that all of his questions had been answered, noting that the work was proposed after nesting bird season, and asked that they uphold the intentions of the Conservation Restriction. Kris Ramsay was comfortable where the access was proposed, noting that it was better than going over the Driscoll and Orleans Conservation Trust Property. Kris Ramsay asked David Lytle to demarcate the line to ensure that all parties knew where the property lines were located, and while bounds were not required, something which made it clear to everyone where the lines were located. John Jannell asked for a letter for the file from the Orleans Conservation Trust requesting this information, and Steve Phillips asked for a finalized plan showing the change to the parking and the shift in the driveway. Kevin Galligan asked that they work with Nicholas Mazzaresse in terms of monitoring, and David Lytle said a revised plan would also include planting work on the applicant's property. John Jannell pointed out that this would take away the screening the abutters were asking the applicants to consider, and Judith Bruce preferred a letter giving the applicants permission to plant

on the abutter's property. David Lyttle felt this may be awkward, and noted that they were finishing up a plan to fix the stairway and propose buffer plantings. John Jannell noted that any Order issued would grant work on 22 Horseshoe Lane only, and Judith Bruce explained that the Commission often included a condition that additional plantings may be required prior to the issuance of any Certificate of Compliance. Rich Nadler asked if it was possible to move the stone wall outside of the 50' buffer. David Lyttle felt that they had demonstrated that the wall would not have an adverse impact on the property, and that moving it would cause problems with the Vastu Shastra design. Joy Cummings noted that they had looked at moving the wall, but that it would result in the removal of additional trees. Nicholas Mazzaresse pointed out that moving the house back would lessen the impact of the view from the Bay, and Joy Cummings stated that the building was as low lying as possible. Judith Bruce noted that in the past, David Lyttle had done a photo mock-up from the bay, and inquired if something like that could be done for this project. David Lyttle felt this was something Joy Cummings would have to do, and Judith Bruce inquired if this was a two-story home. Joy Cummings said yes, and David Lyttle explained that he could do a mock-up showing the adjacent houses along the Bay. Kevin Galligan felt it would be helpful to show the stairs, and the view be from the Channel. Judith Bruce asked that the letters requested also be provided, and Steve Phillips suggested that he could take David Lyttle out on the water to take the necessary site photos. John Jannell reiterated that the Commission asked for letters from the Orleans Conservation Trust, Pleasant Bay Narrows Trust, as well as the requested plan revisions. David Lyttle asked that the meeting be continued for one week to put this information together, and said he would also get a letter from David Driscoll for permission to use the access.

MOTION: A motion to continue the hearing to September 24, 2013, was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Bob Royce.

VOTE: Unanimous.

For the purpose of the remainder of the meeting, Kevin Galligan will be a voting member.

Request for Determination of Applicability

Orleans Highway Department, Abutting 211 Brick Hill Road. by Green Seal Environmental, Inc. Assessor's Map 12, Abutting Parcel 60, within Brick Hill Road Layout. The proposed installation of a new 18" drain line & catch basins to capture & treat stormwater runoff. Work will occur within 100' of the Edge of Wetland. Tom Daley, DPW Director for the Town of Orleans, and Russ Kleekamp of Green Seal Environmental, were present. Russ Kleekamp went over the proposed work, explaining that they wanted to re-set the existing headwall since it was starting to collapse. The proposed work would increase public safety, and would include the installation of 6 catch basins and 2 manholes. The pipe, which drained into Icehouse Pond, was in failure, and they did not want anything to happen to it. Judith Bruce thought that the pipe went all the way to Snow Shore, and was confused about where it ended. Russ Kleekamp explained that they were not entirely sure where the pipe ended since it was outside their scope. Judith Bruce asked if that assuming they were all connected, the proposed work would not have an impact, and Russ Kleekamp explained the purpose of the manhole was to make it better. Judith Bruce inquired if there was a vegetated

swale, and Tom Daley explained that this was a difficult site whose soils were not conclusive for leaching or first flush, and there was a limited amount of land. Judith Bruce inquired if the area they saw on the site visit was private property not town property, and Tom Daley noted that this did not preclude them from doing work in the future if there was an opportunity. Kevin Galligan pointed out that during a major rain event this area suffered from erosion, noting its connection to the Nauset Marsh System, and its maintenance by private owners. Tom Daley explained that there were 3 additional projects for the fall which they planned on communicating with the abutters. Steve Phillips inquired if it would be better to install something after this system, or incorporate additional systems. Russ Kleekamp explained the driving force was the imminent erosion problems, pointing out the existing abutting land features, and that they needed to get the initial problem solved. Judith Bruce noted that going forward did not preclude future work, and Russ Kleekamp concurred.

MOTION: A motion to close the hearing was made by Judy Brainerd and seconded by Steve Phillips.

VOTE: Unanimous.

MOTION: A motion to issue a negative determination for the site plan dated 10-11-12 was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by James Trainor.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Revised Plan

Steve Cahill & Ellen Farrell, 40 Lake Drive. The proposed renovations & construction of additions to a single-family dwelling; the construction of a detached garage; & the upgrade of a septic system has been revised to show a portion of the proposed patio to be deck. Work will occur within 100' of an Inland Bank & Vegetated Inland Wetland on Lily Pond. Stephanie Sequin went over the proposed site plan change, and Judith Bruce asked if the part of the patio was being replaced with the deck. Stephanie Sequin said yes, and Steve Phillips inquired if there was a change in the approved footprint. Stephanie Sequin said there was no change to the footprint, and that the owners decided to make this change to have a better transition from the patio to the deck.

MOTION: A motion to approve this Revised Plan was made by Judy Brainerd and seconded by Philips Marshall.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Enforcement Order

Forrester Quinn, 4 Overland Way. The proposed issuance of an Enforcement Order and implementation of a Restoration Plan for operations that have encroached onto town property. Work has occurred within 100' of the Edge of Wetland. Forrester Quinn, applicant, was present. Forrester Quinn noted explained a revised plan had been submitted to the Commission, which showed the moving of the leaching pit onto his property and a fence line or concrete block line along the property line. Forrester Quinn explained that there were a couple of places for concrete which outlined where you could and could not go, and John Jannell asked if he wanted to do fencing. Forrester Quinn pointed out that there were a couple of blocks where the trucks could back into, and Steve Phillips understood the applicants concern about the fence being knocked down. Judith Bruce asked if the 10" pipe was proposed to be removed. Forrester Quinn said that while he was planning on doing this as well as working at the top of the

Orleans Conservation Commission Hearing Meeting 9-17-13

hill on the Town's drainage, he needed to have approval from the Town Administrator. Steve Phillips asked if the Selectmen were agreeable to this proposed work, and Forrester Quinn said that he had only spoken with the Town Administrator. John Jannell pointed out that David Dunford, Town of Orleans Selectman and Conservation Commission liaison, was in the meeting room, and, prior to asking for his opinion, understood that Forrester Quinn was operating under the Town Administrator's 3 things to be done. John Jannell asked about the catch basin, and Judith Bruce asked if it was to remain on Town Property. Forrester Quinn pointed out that the leaching would be on his property, and John Jannell explained that the Conservation Commission could not authorize the use of Town Property, and it would be subject to the Town Administrator's approval. Judith Bruce noted that they were not trying to bounce the applicant back between boards, and John Jannell explained that they were trying to meet the requirements of the February 15, 2013 letter from the Town Administrator. The Commission had requested and received a letter from the owner of Atlantic Plumbing and Supply for the restoration work. John Jannell explained there were certain elements which they were trying to address, and read into the record the conditions to be included in the Enforcement Order. Judith Bruce inquired if these conditions were suitable to the applicant, and if he was comfortable with a 60 day timeline. Forrester Quinn said this would be fine, and Judith Bruce inquired about the 1' earthen berm to be seeded. Forrester Quinn said he was planning on making this, and David Dunford stated that what he understood from what had been going on, the proposed resolution was in sync with what was brought up during the Selectmen's discussion of this location.

MOTION: A motion to close the hearing was made by Bob Royce and seconded by Steve Phillips.

VOTE: Unanimous.

MOTION: A motion to issue the Enforcement Order and incorporate the conditions read into record by John Jannell was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Judy Brainerd.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Forrester Quinn asked if John Jannell would communicate with the Town Administrator about what had happened during the meeting, and John Jannell said yes.

Administrative Reviews

Donald Lesieur, 17 Little Bay Road. The proposed trimming up of 4 trees to improve view. Work to be done by A to Z Treez. John Jannell announced that this was for the pruning of 3 trees, and Judith Bruce inquired why the agenda read as 4 trees. John Jannell explained that while on site he noticed a 4th tree marked, and asked that it not be touched. The applicant agreed to not trim this 4th tree.

MOTION: A motion to approve this application was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Judy Brainerd.

VOTE: Unanimous.

Chairman's Business

Approval of the Minutes from the Meeting on June 11, 2013.

Orleans Conservation Commission Hearing Meeting 9-17-13

MOTION: A motion to approve these minutes was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Philips Marshall.

VOTE: 6-0-1, motion approved, Kevin Galligan abstained.

Approval of the Minutes from the Meeting on June 18, 2013.

MOTION: A motion to approve these minutes was made by Steve Phillips and seconded by Philips Marshall.

VOTE: 6-0-1, motion approved, Kevin Galligan abstained.

Other Member's Business

Administrator's Business

The Commission discussed the site visits.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:50am

Respectfully submitted,

Erin C. Shupenis, Principal Clerk, Orleans Conservation Department.